UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,467	03/09/2004	Marta Karczewicz	944-001.130	3309
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			EXAMINER	
			FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G	
755 MAIN STI MONROE, CT	AIN STREET, P O BOX 224 OE CT 06468		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	,
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/797,467	KARCZEWICZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher Findley	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 De	ecember 2007.	· ·				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s)	· · · · ·					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ατοπ επροπομιστί				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Examiner notes that claims 24 and 25 have been added via the amendment filed 12/03/2007.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 12/03/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Re claims 1, 11, and 19, the Applicant contends that neither van der Schaar nor Eshet, alone or in combination, teaches or discloses re-computing the reconstructed values based at least partially on a refined interval. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Eshet discloses that the progressive representation of the media stream allows for adjusting the bit rate and accordingly the quality of transmitted and reconstructed media signals to the available bandwidth of a transmission channel, to the available storage space of a storage unit, or the like (Eshet: paragraph [0026]), indicating that the quantization parameters (which directly affect video quality) may be adjusted to meet a target bit rate. Eshet further explains the guidelines for the varying levels of quantization for each layer (Eshet: paragraph [0027]). Since both van der Schaar and Eshet relate to video coding utilizing base and enhancement layers, one of ordinary skill in the art a the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine the quantization refinement of Eshet with the method of using base layer information to increase the efficiency of enhancement layer coding and decoding of van

10/797,467 Art Unit: 2621

der Schaar in order to provide a system for optimizing the coding efficiency of the system by reducing the amount of data required to effectively process the enhancement layer, which is in the spirit of both van der Schaar and Eshet (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 5-15 and Eshet: paragraph [0008]).

4. Therefore, the Examiner maintains the previous rejection of claims 1-23. A modified copy of the previous rejection, reflecting the changes made in the amendment filed 12/03/2007, is included below. Additionally, claims 24 and 25 are addressed in the detailed action below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Independent claim 19 recites "A software product for use in a scalable media data coding device..." that fails to meet the statutory requirement set forth in the Interim Guidelines, Annex IV (b):

# (b) Nonfunctional Descriptive Material

Nonfunctional descriptive material that does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and should be rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 101. Certain types of descriptive material, such as music, literature, art, photographs and mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, without any functional interrelationship is not a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter.

Claim 19 should be rewritten as a computer readable medium stored *thereon a* computer program containing steps for executing the operations described in claim 19.

The nature of the program *stored on the computer readable medium* must be closely associated with the method being executed, meaning the program must include the steps and not merely a start code for initializing a computer executable method stored elsewhere.

Claims 20-23 are dependent upon claim 19.

Appropriate corrections are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 10-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van der Schaar et al. (US 6788740 B1) in view of Eshet et al. (US 20060244840 A1).

10/797,467 Art Unit: 2621

Re claim 1, van der Schaar discloses a method comprising: obtaining intervals for use in scalable media data coding, wherein original media data having a plurality of original coefficients is presented in a plurality of layers including a base layer (van der Schaar: Fig. 2), the base layer associated with a plurality of base-layer coefficients corresponding to original coefficients (van der Schaar: Fig. 2, transform block 214 outputs coefficients), each original coefficient having an original value (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 65-67, the quantization data used is based on the base layer), and wherein a binarization procedure is undertaken for forming a plurality of enhancement layers (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 51-56), each enhancement layer having a plurality of enhancement layer coefficients corresponding to the base-layer coefficients and at least partially based upon a predicted value of the enhancement layer coefficients corresponding to the original coefficients (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 56-64), wherein the intervals are obtained at least partially based on a quantization step-size of an enhancement layer and reconstructed values of the enhancement layer coefficients associated with at least one of a plurality of layers including said enhancement layer, other enhancement layers and the base layer (van der Schaar: column 3, line 56, through column 4, line 10; column 4, lines 37-46); refining the intervals at least partially based on the relationship between the predicted values, the original coefficients and the intervals (van der Schaar: column 9, line 66, through column 10, line 19). Van der Schaar does not explicitly disclose re-computing the reconstructed values and reducing the quantization step-size for a next enhancement layer. However, Eshet discloses a method for scalable representation, storage,

10/797,467

Art Unit: 2621

transmission, and reconstruction of media streams, where an original media stream is re-quantized using various quantizing scales with values getting smaller as the number of the enhancement layer increases (Eshet: paragraph [0027]). Since both van der Schaar and Eshet relate to coding data in a fine granularity scalable scheme, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine their teachings in order to provide a method for robust transmission of media streams while efficiently reconstructing a media stream from various representations of the media stream (Eshet: paragraph [0006]). The combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet has all of the features of claim 1.

Re claim 2, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses computing one of said intervals for each original coefficient to be encoded based on a reconstructed value corresponding to said each original coefficient and the quantization step-size (Eshet: paragraph [0027]).

Re claim 3, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses possibly emitting a value at least partially depending upon the position of said each original coefficient, the position of the predicted value of the enhancement layer coefficient corresponding to said each original coefficient, relative to each other and relative to said interval, for refining said interval at least partially based on the emitted value for providing a refined interval (van der Schaar: column 9, line 66, through column 10, line 19).

10/797,467 Art Unit: 2621

Re claim 4, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses that recomputing of the reconstructed value is at least partially based on said refined interval in said enhancement layer (Eshet: paragraph [0036], enhancement layer symbols are based on previous layers which may be previous enhancement layers).

Re claim 5, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet does not explicitly disclose repeating said obtaining, emitting, refining, re-computing and reducing until the quantization step-size reaches a predetermined value. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious that the iterative process of scaling the quantization accuracy would continue until the system designer decided that enough detail had been incorporated into the coded signal.

Re claim 6, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet does not explicitly disclose that the predetermined value is zero. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious that if the iterative process of scaling the quantization accuracy continues decreasing the step size, the value would eventually approach zero.

Re claim 7, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses that the value is a binary digit value (van der Schaar: column 10, lines 28-51).

Re claim 8, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses that the value is one of two binary digit values of zero and one (van der Schaar: column 10, lines 12-13, state that the lower bound is always zero).

Re claim 10, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses that the interval has a boundary and wherein said refining of the interval is at least partially based upon whether said each original coefficient falls within the boundary of the interval (van der Schaar: column 9, line 66, through column 10, line 19).

Re claim 11, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses an apparatus comprising: a binarization module for use in scalable media data coding, wherein original media data having a plurality of original coefficients is presented in a plurality of layers including a base layer (van der Schaar: Fig. 2), the base layer associated with a plurality of base-layer coefficients corresponding to original coefficients (van der Schaar: Fig. 2, transform block 214 outputs coefficients), each original coefficient having an original value (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 65-67, the quantization data used is based on the base layer), and wherein a binarization procedure is undertaken for forming a plurality of enhancement layers (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 51-56), each enhancement layer having a plurality of enhancement layer coefficients corresponding to the base-layer coefficients and at least partially based upon a predicted value of the enhancement layer coefficients corresponding to the original coefficients (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 56-64), said device, said binarization module responsive to the original media data, for providing a signal indicative to binarized data (van der Schaar: Fig. 2, entropy coder 218 outputs a bitstream); and a coding module, responsive to the signal, for providing encoded media data at least partially based on the binarized data (van der Schaar: Fig. 2, entropy coder 218), wherein the binarization module is configured for: obtaining intervals at least

10/797,467 Art Unit: 2621

partially based on a quantization step-size of an enhancement layer and reconstructed values of the enhancement layer coefficients associated with at least one of a plurality of layers including said enhancement layer, other enhancement layers and the base layer (van der Schaar: column 3, line 56, through column 4, line 10; column 4, lines 37-46); refining the intervals at least partially based on the relationship between the predicted values, the original coefficients and the intervals (van der Schaar: column 9, line 66, through column 10, line 19); re-computing the reconstructed values (Eshet: paragraph [0027]); and reducing the quantization step-size for a next coefficient and a next enhancement layer (Eshet: paragraph [0027]).

Claim 12 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 2 above.

Claim 13 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 3 above.

Claim 14 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

Claim 15 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 5 above.

Claim 16 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 8 above.

Re claim 17, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses a base layer encoder, responsive to the original media data, for providing base layer encoded data to the coding module (van der Schaar: Fig. 2, base layer encoding unit 210).

Re claim 18, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses that the binarization module comprises a software program for carrying out said obtaining, refining, re-computing, and reducing (van der Schaar: column 6, lines 30-33, the processing is implemented by software).

Claim 19 recites the corresponding computer program for implementing the method of claim 1, and, therefore, has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 1 above.

Claim 20 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 2 above.

Claim 21 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 3 above.

Claim 22 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

Claim 23 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 5 above.

Re claim 24, the combined system of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses an apparatus, comprising: means for obtaining intervals for use in scalable media data coding, wherein original media data having a plurality of original coefficients is presented in a plurality of layers including a base layer (van der Schaar: Fig. 2), the base layer associated with a plurality of base-layer coefficients corresponding to original coefficients (van der Schaar: Fig. 2, transform block 214 outputs coefficients), each original coefficient having an original value (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 65-67, the quantization data is based on the base layer), and wherein a binarization procedure is undertaken for forming a plurality of enhancement layers (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 51-56), each enhancement layer having a plurality of enhancement layer

coefficients corresponding to the base-layer coefficients and at least partially based upon a predicted value of the enhancement layer coefficients corresponding to the original coefficients (van der Schaar: column 3, lines 56-64), wherein the intervals are obtained at least partially based on a quantization step-size of an enhancement layer and reconstructed values of the enhancement layer coefficients associated with at least one of a plurality of layers including said enhancement layer, other enhancement layers and the base layer (van der Schaar: column 3, line 56, through column 4, line 10; column 4, lines 37-46); and means for refining the intervals at least partially based on the relationship between the predicted values, the original coefficients and the intervals (van der Schaar: column 9, line 66, through column 10, line 19). Van der Schaar does not explicitly disclose re-computing the reconstructed values and reducing the quantization step-size for a next enhancement layer. However, Eshet discloses a method for scalable representation, storage, transmission, and reconstruction of media streams, where an original media stream is re-quantized using various quantizing scales with values getting smaller as the number of the enhancement layer increases (Eshet: paragraph [0027]). Since both van der Schaar and Eshet relate to coding data in a fine granularity scalable scheme, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine their teachings in order to provide a method for robust transmission of media streams while efficiently reconstructing a media stream from various representations of the media stream (Eshet: paragraph [0006]). The combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet has all of the features of claim 24.

Re claim 25, the combined system of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses means for emitting a value at least partially depending upon the position of said each original coefficient, the position of the predicted value of the enhancement layer coefficient corresponding to said each original coefficient, relative to each other and relative to said interval, for refining said interval at least partially based on the emitted value for providing a refined interval (van der Schaar: column 9, line 66, through column 10, line 19).

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van der Schaar et al. (US 6788740 B1) and Eshet et al. (US 20060244840 A1) as applied to claims 1-8 and 10-23 above, and further in view of Wu et al. (US 6700933 B1).

Re claim 9, the combined method of van der Schaar and Eshet discloses a majority of the features of claim 9 as discussed in claims 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8 above, but does not explicitly disclose that said interval has a center, and wherein the emitted value is one or zero is partially depending upon the position of said each original coefficient relative to the center of the interval. However, Wu discloses a method with advance predicted bit-plane coding for progressive fine-granularity scalable (PFGS) video coding, where quantizer steps have equal intervals with a center and the DCT coefficients encoded in high enhancement layers are the differences between a high quality predicted DCT (HQPD) and a dequantized value (which would conventionally be the center value of the quantization step's range) (Wu: column 17, lines 40-63). Since van der Schaar, Eshet, and Wu all relate to coding data in a fine granularity scalable

Art Unit: 2621

scheme, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine their teachings in order to provide an efficient layered video coding scheme that adapts to bandwidth fluctuation and also exhibits good error recovery characteristics (Wu: column 3, lines 27-29).

### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
  - a. Scalable predictive coding method and apparatus
     Rose (US 6731811 B1)
  - b. Scalable video encoding

Kirenko (US 20060008002 A1)

- c. Scalable compression of audio and other signalsRose et al. (US 20030212551 A1)
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

10/797,467 Art Unit: 2621

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Findley whose telephone number is (571) 270-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,467 Art Unit: 2621

/Christopher Findley/

Page 15

